ICRC 2001

More about structure and fragmentation of ⁶Li and ⁷Li nuclei at 3-4.5 A GeV/c

M. M. Sherif, M. S. El-Nagdy, O. Wahba, and F. A. Abd-Elwahed

Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt

Abstract The results of investigations of inelastic interactions of ${}^{6}\text{Li}$ and ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ nuclei with photo-emulsion at 4.5 and 3.7 A GeV/c respectively are studied. The momenta and yields of hydrogen and helium isotopes and the fragmentation channels of incident projectile nuclei are obtained.

The exotic nuclei ⁶He produced from pion exchange of ⁶Li reaction and from fragmentation of ⁷Li nuclei are estimated. The study showed that ⁶Li has large fragmentation ratio (81.1%) and its structure as weakly bound system consists mainly of ⁴He, while ⁷Li nuclei has fragmentation ratio (28.45%) and it consists mainly of ³He.

1 Introduction:

Much analysis of the nucleus-nucleus interactions at intermediate and high energies has been carried out quite actively in recent years (Adamovich. et al., 1999, Heckman et al. 1978 and Davis et al 1991).

However comparatively few analysis have been made using projectile isotopes. With the availability of monoenergetic beams of isotopic nuclei at the JINR (Dubna) provides the opportunity to open a new branch of research.

In previous analysis (El-Nadi et al., 1999), a systematic comparison using shower particle distributions of ⁶Li and ⁷Li ions lead to the assumption that ⁶Li can be considered as a cluster of (\mathbf{a} + d), but ⁷Li structure may not be (\mathbf{a} + t)

This work is a continuation of the previous one using the two isotopes ${}^{6}Li$ and ${}^{7}Li$ at 4.5 and 3.7AGeV/c respectively.

We report on results obtained using momentum spectra of relativistic singly and doubly charged fragments resulting from the interactions of both of the two isotopes in nuclear emulsion.

Correspondence to: <u>HEPL2CU@FRCU.EUN.EG</u>

2 Experimental method :

A photo-emulsion chamber consists of 600 \mathbf{m} m and thick (10 x 20) cm² pellicles of BR-2 emulsion was irradiated by beams of ⁶Li and ⁷Li at momenta of 4.5 A GeV/c and 3.7 A GeV/c respectively at the synchrophasatron of JINR (Dubna).

The events were searched by - along the track- scanning technique using Leitz Laborlux-S microscope.

For ⁶Li and ⁷Li we scanned (353.867) meters and (248.63) Meters respectively and found (2454) events and (1647) events of ⁶Li and ⁷Li respectively. The experimental mean free paths were (14.42 \pm 0.29 cm) and (15.096 \pm 0.37 cm) respectively.

For ⁷Li we have chosen a random sample of (1011) inelastic events without any selection rules or discrimination criteria among which there were (347) events with projectile fragmentation (i.e. they have a total charge of - projectile fragments in the forward hemisphere Q>0) and we found (264) events among them measurable and excluded (83) events which were not measurable because of emulsion defects or because overlap of primary or secondary tracks with the marking grid of the emulsion sheet.

For ⁶Li: a random sample of (1390) events of inelastic interactions was selected, within this sample: (1040) events were found to have projectile fragments. Among these (1040) events, there were (947) measurable events while the remaining (93) events were not measurable were excluded for the same reasons mentioned above.

Singly charged fragments of projectile nucleus (Z=1) were visually separated and identified according to their number of grains per 100 \mathbf{m} m (25-30 grains per 100 \mathbf{m} m) and the doubly charged fragments (Z=2) were identified from the number of \mathbf{d} -rays normalized to that of the primary track.

We measured the quantity (p **b** c) for all the projectile fragments of (264) events of ⁷Li with an error (Δ p **b** c),

where $\boldsymbol{b} = v/c$.

The determination of (p **b** c) and its error is made through the measurement of multiple Coulomb scattering of the fragments by the Coulomb fields of the emulsion nuclei along the fragments paths the deviations of their paths were measured by the co-ordinate method (Solntseff 1957) using KSM1 Zeiss Jena microscope, and the calculations of (p **b** c) was made by the **r** -method (which takes into account the second and the third differences of the Ycoordinates of the track to exclude the effect of spurious scattering (Solntseff 1957).

We followed the tracks to the maximum possible length and followed some of them from plate to plate to increase the measured length (i.e. increase the number of unit cells)

Also we found that a unit cell length of 500 m m gives the best precision of our measurements.

Increasing the length (number of unit cells) to improve the

measurement precision has a limitation because of spurious scattering and emulsion distortions effects.

Events were classified into two main classes:

- Central events: which have not projectile fragments (i.e. the total fragments charge Q = 0).
- (2) Peripheral and Coulomb dissociation events: which have projectile fragments (i.e. the total charge of projectile fragments Q>0)

We identified all the projectile fragments in the interactions of (Q>0) by using the double ionizationmomentum technique for ⁷Li interactions and also the charge identification of ⁶Li projectile fragments were made.

The particles emitted from target nuclei are usually classified in emulsion experiments into 3 categories:

- (i) Shower particles (s-particles N_s): singly charged particle with velocity ($\mathbf{b} = v/c$) ≥ 0.71 .
- (ii) Grey particles (g-particles N_g): Singly charged particles with velocity range of $0.23 \le \mathbf{b} < 0.71$ and ionization

 $(6 I_o) \ge I > (1.4 I_o)$ where I_o is the minimum ionization of singly charged particle fragments emitted in the narrow forward cone.

(iii) Black particles (b-particles N_b) : charged particle with $\boldsymbol{b} < 0.23$ and $I > (6 I_0)$.

Grey and black particles are together classified as heavy particles, (N_h) where: $N_h = N_g + N_b$.

3 Results

The fragmentation channels of the ⁶Li (Adamovich et al., private communication) and ⁷Li (present work) are shown in Table (1) and we can see clearly that:

For the channels of Q=1 and Z_{max} =1 (i.e. channels of p, d and t), where Z_{max} is the maximum possible charge on a given projectile fragment (i.e. channels of p, d and t). In these channels, the percentages of p, d and t are 53%, 41% and 6% respectively for ⁷Li, and were 41%, 44% and 15% respectively for ⁶Li. In these channels there is only one singly charged particle (p, d or t) emitted as a projectile

- **Table 1:** fragmentation channels of ⁶Liand ⁷Li projectile nuclei with the frequency of each channel and percentage taken to the total number of events (O>0).
 - (a) Private communication with Adamovich M.I. et al.
 - (b) Present work

Pfs		⁶ Li ^(a)		⁷ Li ^(b)
Chan-	No.	Percent	No.	Percent.
11013		(Q>0)		(Q>0)
Q=0	179		664	
Q>0				
р	90	11.72%	38	14.4%
рр	23	2.994%	13	4.92%
ppp	5	0.65%	1	0.38%
pppp	1	0.13%	0	
d	97	12.63%	30	11.36%
dp	64	0.88%	15	5.68%
dpp	8	1.04%	0	
dd	27	3.515%	5	1.89%
ddp	8	1.04%	1	0.38%
ddd	3	0.39%	0	
t	32	4.166%	5	1.89%
tp	24	3.125%	2	0.76%
tpp	5	0.65%	0	
td	21	2.734%	1	0.38%
tdp	8	1.04%	2	0.76%
tt	4	0.521%	0	
³Не	46	5.989%	52	19.696%
³ Нер	18	2.34%	34	12.878%
³ Hepp	1	0.13%	0	
³ Hed	29	3.776%	14	5.3%
³ Hedp	1	0.13%	0	
³ Het	8	1.04%	4	1.52%
⁴He	97	12.63%	24	9.09%
⁴Hep	65	8.46%	8	3.03%
⁴Hepp	4	0.521%	0	
⁴Hed	61	7.943%	1	0.38
°Не	5	0.65%	3	1.14%
°Нер	0		5	1.89%
۴Li	13	1.693%		
'Li			6	2.27%

fragment in the narrow forward cone and the remaining 2 singly charged particles interacted with target nucleus of emulsion.

(ii) For channels of Q = 2 and $Z_{max} = 1$ [i.e. channels of (pp), (dp), (dd), (tp), (td), and (tt)] .In these channels: 2 singly charged particles are emitted as projectile fragments leaving the remaining singly charged particle which interacts with the target nucleus.

The percentages of p, d and t in these channels are ~ 60%, 37% and 3% respectively for ⁷Li and they are 44%, 46% and 10% respectively for ⁶Li.

(iii) For channels of Q = 2, $Z_{max} = 2$, i.e. the channels of (³He), (⁴He) and (⁶He). The doubly charged ions are emitted as projectile fragments while the remaining singly charged particle interacted with target nucleus. The percentages of

³He: ⁴He: ⁶He are ~ 66%, 37% and 3% respectively for ⁷Li and are 31%, 66% and 3% respectively for ⁶Li.

(iv) For channels of Q=3 and $Z_{max} = 1$, [i.e. channels of (ppp), (dpp), (ddp), (ddd), (tpp), (tdp)] the 3 charged particles are emitted as projectile fragments .In these channels, the percentages of p, d and t are ~ 50%, 33% and 17% respectively for ⁷Li and are ~ 51%, 37% and 12% respectively for ⁶Li.

(v) For the channels of (Q=3) and $Z_{max} = 2$ [i.e. channels of (³Hep), (³Hed), (³Het), (⁴Hep), (⁴Hed), (⁴Het) and (⁶Hep): the projectile nucleus is fragmented into one singly charged particle associated with a doubly ionized helium isotope.

The percentages of p, d and t in these channels are ~ 71.2%, 22.8% and 6% respectively for ⁷Li and are ~ 46%, 49% and 4% respectively for ⁶Li. And the percentages of ³He⁻⁴He and ⁶He in these channels are ~ 78.8%, 13.6%, 7.6% Respectively for 7Li and they are ~ 31%, 66% and 3% respectively for ⁶Li.

For channels of (Q>3) and (Z_{max} =2) :i.e. (pppp), (³Hepp), (³Hedp) and (⁴Hepp): the charge conservation is violated in These channels and that appear only among ⁶Li fragmentation channels and does not appear among ⁷Li channels .These means there are no cases of pion exchange or nucleon pick-up by projectile nucleus from target nucleus in case of ⁷Li.Table (2) represents the relative yields of singly and doubly charged fragments of ⁶Li and ⁷Li. (Adamovich et al., private communication. and Lepekhin et al., 1998).

For singly charged fragments (z =1): the ratios of p: d are approximately equal in both experiments of ⁶Li fragmentation (private communication with Adamovich et al) and (Lepekhin et al., 1998) while for ⁷Li, the relative yields of p and d are 60.36% and 33.33% respectively (present work).

This means that in ⁷Li, the proton yields increase while deuteron yields decrease compared to the corresponding relative yields of ⁶Li.

Triton relative yields show an approximate equality between ⁷Li (present work) triton yields and those of ref. (Lepekhin et al., 1998) (6.31% and 7% respectively), while it is 13% in ref. (Adamovich et al., private communication) For doubly charged fragments (z = 2): relative yields of ³He, ⁴He and ⁶He show that in ⁶Li fragmentation: the relative yields of ³He and ⁴He are approximately equal in ref. (Lepekhin F.G.et al., 1998) (51% and 46% respectively) while they differ in other experiments (Adamovich et al., private communication) (30% and 68% respectively) i.e. ⁴He yields exceed ³He by a significant difference. While in ⁷Li fragmentation, the relative yields of ³He and ⁴He are ~ (71.72%) and (22.8%) respectively.

From all above, we can deduce clearly that proton yields dominate the singly charged fragments in ⁷Li-fragmentation process while proton and deuteron yields are approximately equal in ⁶Li-fragmentation process. Also ³He fragments are dominant on doubly charged fragments in ⁷Li fragmentation process, while ⁴He yields are the dominant doubly charged fragments in ⁶Li fragmentation process.

 Table 2: relative yields of emitted fragments from ⁶Li and ⁷Li relativistic projectile nuclei.

[a] Private communication with Adamovich M.I. et al.

[c] Lepekhin F.G. et al.1998.

Isotope	Ratio				
	⁵Li [a]	⁶ Lі [с]	⁷ Li Present work		
р	44%	47%	60.36 %		
d	43%	46%	33.33 %		
t	13%	7%	6.31 %		
³ He	30%	51%	71.72 %		
⁴He	68%	46%	22.80 %		
⁶ He	1.4%	3%	5.50 %		

This leads us to conclude that the structure of ⁷Li tends to be (³He+p+3n) while ⁶Li structure tends to be a weakly bound system of (\mathbf{a} +d) and this is confirmed by the fragmentation ratio of ⁶Li (81.1% of all inelastic events which have projectile fragments [Q>0]), while the fragmentation ratio of ⁷Li is only (28.45%).

Also it is important to notice from tables (1) and (2) that ⁶He yields of ⁷Li is approximately twice as that of ⁶Li in ref. (Lepekhin et al., 1998) and approximately 4 times as that of ⁶Li in ref. (Adamovich et al., private communication).

This may be explained by the fact that: ⁶He production occurs in ⁷Li fragmentation by direct break-up of ⁷Li nucleus into (⁶He + p), (in 5 events out of 8 events having ⁶He fragments, the ⁶He isotope was accompanied by a singly charged fragment) so that reveals the estimation of the double charge of ⁶He.While ⁶He fragments yielded from ⁶Li fragmentation are produced from pion exchange (nucleon exchange) between the ⁶Li projectile nucleus and the target nucleus of the emulsion.

Also in ⁷Li fragemtation there were 5 events of (6 He+p) yields, this may be a possible dissociation channel of ⁷Li through direct break-up of ⁷Li into ⁶He and p.

We can see from table (3) that it shows the channels of (Q=3) and the events in these channels are classified into 2 categories according to the number of heavy fragments (N_h=0) and (N_h>0). The 1st category of events is a very sensitive probe for the structure of the projectile nucleus because it contains only the events which have dissociated by Coulomb dissociation in the projectile fragmentation forward cone and are not accompanied with any target fragments (N_h=0). It is clear that the structure of ⁷Li tends to be mainly of (³He+p+3n) (highest probability), and also there is a less probability for the (³He+d) formula and there are smaller and smaller probabilities for (⁴He+p), (⁶He+p) (³He+t) and (⁴He+d) formulae of internal structure of ⁷Li nucleus.

	$N_h = 0$	N _h >0	Total
ррр	0	1	1
ddp	0	1	1
tdp	2	0	2
³ Hep	18	16	34
³ Hed	10	4	14
³ Het	2	2	4
⁴Hep	5	3	8
⁴ Hed	1	0	1
⁶ Hep	2	3	5

Table 3 Channels of dissociation of ⁷Li of the type (Q=3) classified according to N_h value.

Also the events which have $(N_h>0)$ show that the above formulae still have the same probability [i.e. (³He+p) is the most probable one, then $({}^{3}\text{He+d})$ then the remaining ones].

This also may lead us to conclude that the fragmentation behavior of ⁷Li keeps the same trend independently from the type of interaction events [i.e. $(N_h=0)$ type or $(N_h>0)$ type].

4.Conclusion:

The results of fragmentation of relativistic ⁷Li and ⁶Li relativistic nuclei lead to the following:

- (1) In fragmentation of ⁷Li: the majority of singly charged projectile fragments are protons, while in fragmentation of ⁶Li, the proton and deutron yields are approximately equal. Also triton yields of ⁷Li shows an approximately equal relative yields with those obtained from ⁶Li.
- (2) The majority of doubly charged projectile fragments is ³He isotope in case of ⁷Li fragmentation, while the majority is ⁴He isotope in case of ⁶Li fragmentation.
- (3) From the above 2 points we can say that the structure of ⁷Li nucleus tends to be mainly consisted of $({}^{3}\text{He+p+3n})$, while ${}^{6}\text{Li}$ nucleus tends to be mainly consisted of $(^{4}\text{He}+\text{d})$.
- (4) Fragmentation ratios of ⁷Li and ⁶Li are 28.45% and 81.1% respectively. This reveals that the ⁶Li nucleus is a weakly bound system while ⁷Li is not.
- (5) Relative yields of ⁶He from ⁷Li and ⁶Li fragmentation are 2.27% and 1.693% respectively. Since ⁶He production occurs through direct break-up of ⁷Li into (⁶He+p), while it is produced from ⁶Li through a pionexchange process between the projectile ⁶Li nucleus and target nucleus of the emulsion.
- (6) The fragmentation of ⁷Li into (3 He+p) remains the most

probable fragmentation channel independently from the type of interaction [$(N_h=0 \text{ type})$ or $(N_h>0 \text{ type})$].

Acknowledgement The authors are pleased to thank the director and the staff of the High Energy Laboratory at JINR (Dubna) for supplying the irradiated emulsions.

References:

- Adamovich M.I. et al. EMU-01 Collaboration. Eur. Phys. J. A 5, 429, 1999.
- Adamovich M.I. et al., JINR, Dubna, High Energy Physics Lab. (Private communication)
- Davis N.J.et al., J.Phys.G.17, L39, 1991.
- El-Nadi M., El-Nagdy M.S., Sherif M., Abdel-Salam A., Yasin M.N., Ali Mossa N., Abdel-HalimS.M., BadawyB.M., Jilany M.A., El-Sharkawy S., El-Tabal A.Y., and Bakr A., Proc.of International School of Cosmic Ray Astrophysics 10th Course. Erice, Italy (16-23) June 1996.
- Heckman H.H., Greiner D.E., Lidstrom P.J. and Shwe H., Phys. Rev. C17, 1735, 1978.
- Lepekhin F.G., Sileverstov D.M. and Simonov B.B., Eur. Phys. A 1, 137, 1998.
- Solntseff N. Nucl. Phys. Vol. 4 p337, 1957.